

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,761	03/29/2004	Jacob O. Wobbrock	DB001079-001	2816
57692 JONES DAY	7590 05/19/2009 S DAY		EXAMINER	
222 E.41ST STREET			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/811,761
 WOBBROCK ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 SATH V. PERUNGAYOOR
 2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR</u> .	(3)Edward L. Pencoske (Reg. No. 29,688).				
(2) <u>Brad Myers (inventor)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 18 May 2009.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 17</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: \underline{Wu} .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \boxtimes N/A.					

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative discussed how Wu does not teach the claim limitations of claims 1 and 17.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sath V Perungavoor/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	